

Dagstuhl Seminar No. 01241

# **Management of Metacomputers**

June 10 – 15, 2001

Organized by

Francine D. Berman, UCSD, La Jolla, USA

Alexander Reinefeld, ZIB and HU, Berlin, Germany

Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Universität Dortmund, Germany

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preface</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Background and Motivation . . . . .	3
1.2	Contents of the Seminar . . . . .	3
1.3	Character of the Seminar . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Final Program</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Abstracts of the Presentations</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Scheduling Computational Grids: A Five-Year Retrospective .	10
3.2	Co-Allocation in Wide-Area Systems . . . . .	10
3.3	Evaluation of Scheduling Algorithms for Grid Computing . . .	11
3.4	Bandwidth-centric allocation of independent tasks on hetero- geneous platforms . . . . .	12
3.5	GARA: Advance Reservation Architecture and API . . . . .	13
3.6	Proposal of the Tool Support for Grid Application Monitoring	14
3.7	Playing Inside the Blackbox: Using Dynamic Instrumentation to Create Security Holes . . . . .	14
3.8	Heterogeneity Considered Harmful to Algorithm Designers . .	15
3.9	Grid Application Development: The Ultimate Challenge for code portability and adaptivity . . . . .	16
3.10	Thoughts about Data Management in Grid Environments . . .	17
3.11	Grid-aware Communication Components . . . . .	17
3.12	Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining in Grid-Based Dis- tributed Environments . . . . .	19
3.13	The Internet2 Qbone Bandwidth Broker . . . . .	19
3.14	The NWIRE Resource Management Architecture . . . . .	20
3.15	Integrating Local Resources into the Grid: The Resource Spec- ification Problem . . . . .	21
3.16	Integrating Local Resources into the Grid: The Resource Spec- ification Problem . . . . .	21
3.17	Monitoring and Performance Analysis in Distributed Systems	22
3.18	How to Build a Reliable Cluster for the Grid . . . . .	23
<b>4</b>	<b>List of Participants</b>	<b>24</b>

# 1 Preface

## 1.1 Background and Motivation

The success of the Internet along with the worldwide growing number of high-performance computers has led to the concepts of *metacomputing* or, more recently, *computational grids*. In principle, a metacomputer can be considered as an extension of a distributed computer with a variety of geographically dispersed resources, such as supercomputers, storage systems, data sources and special devices. Ideally, such a metacomputer is seen as a single unified resource by the user. It typically consists of various architectures with different application software. Those architectures usually belong to different owners and are accessed from a large number of independent users.

As already mentioned the distributed nature of an ideal metacomputer environment is transparent to the user, that is, he or she only needs to describe the constraints connected with a job while the system selects the most suitable machine for the execution of this job. This selection process may be subject to a large variety of different constraints including access restrictions, user priorities, machine workload, job characteristics and user preferences. In addition, the metacomputer structure may change due to maintenance shut downs or temporary failures of sub-systems. It is the task of the management software to handle those problems, that is, to provide the desired transparent access to the users while at the same time considering any special requests from users and owners. Therefore, the management software is a key component of a metacomputer.

## 1.2 Contents of the Seminar

The architecture and the methods of such a management software were the focus of this Dagstuhl seminar. The participants explored and analyzed the design, implementation, and deployment of metacomputer management systems. Eighteen talks were given on the various aspects and the state-of-the-art of metacomputer management.

The first day of the seminar (Monday) was devoted to the topic of scheduling. Various projects, concepts, and new approaches have been presented by

Jon Weissman, Dick Epema, Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Larry Carter, and Volker Sander. The day was concluded with a discussion on current aspects and future developments in the field.

The talks of Marian Bubak (given by Roland Wismüller), Barton Miller, and Arnaud Legrand on Tuesday focused on performance issues, program development and security issues in grid environments. In the afternoon, Lennart Johnsson discussed aspects of grid application tools, and thereafter several large scale projects were briefly presented by Volker Sander (Globus), Thilo Kielmann (DAS), Florian Schintke (Datagrid), André Merzky (Cactus), and Steve Chapin (Legion). The pros and cons of these projects were compared and vividly discussed until late in the evening.

On the third day, various topics of grid infrastructure, grid components, and application specific grids were presented by André Merzky, Thilo Kielmann, and Domenico Talia. In the afternoon, participants took a hike through the nearby forests, discussing various research topics in a leisurely surrounding at an excellent weather.

Thursday was filled with talks on grid infrastructure and tools. Steve Chapin, Ramin Yahyapour, Jean-Marc Nicod, Alexander Reinefeld, Roland Wismüller, Florian Schintke, and Volker Lindenstruth presented their work on the various components used to build metacomputer environments. Again, some of these topics were discussed in more detail until late in the evening.

### **1.3 Character of the Seminar**

Schloss Dagstuhl was a perfect environment to cover a wide range of aspects of metacomputer management. Due to the small group size, there was no pressure to remind speakers to end their talks in time, which made the organization very easy. We ended up with each speaker taking about an hour including questions and answers. In such an open atmosphere, the discussion was often quite vivid and provided useful hints for further research. The seminar benefited by a wide spectrum of participants ranging from young researchers just starting their careers up to full professors who have worked for already a long time in the field.

Arguing from the very positive reactions of the participants, the seminar was perceived as a very fruitful event. Staying one week together at the beautiful place of Dagstuhl has stimulated the scientific and private exchange between the international participants.

Unfortunately, one of the co-organizers, Francine Berman, was unable to attend, because just shortly before the start of the seminar she took over the highly reputed, but also very demanding job as the director of the San Diego Supercomputing Center (SDSC).

## 2 Final Program

Monday, 11 June 2001

- 09:00 - 10:00      *Introduction by the Organizers*  
Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Alexander Reinefeld
- Session: Scheduling, Advance Reservation**
- 10:00 - 11:00      Jon B. Weissman, University of Minnesota  
*Scheduling Computational Grids: A Five-Year Retrospective*
- 11:00 - 12:00      Dick H.J. Epema, TU Delft  
- *The Influence of Communication on the Performance of Co-  
Allocation*  
- *Flocking as a Paradigm for Discovering and Sharing Re-  
sources in Grids*
- 12:15 - 14:00      Lunch
- 14:00 - 15:00      Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Universität Dortmund  
*Evaluation of Scheduling Algorithms for Grid Computing*
- 15:00 - 16:00      Larry Carter, Univ. of California - San Diego  
*Bandwidth-centric scheduling of independent tasks on a het-  
erogeneous grid*
- 16:00 - 16:30      Coffee and cookies
- 16:30 - 17:45      Volker Sander, KFA Jülich  
*GARA: Advance Reservation Architecture and API*
- 18:00                Dinner
- 19:00 - 21:00      *Discussion on Grid Scheduling and Security*  
Moderators: Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Alexander Reinefeld

**Tuesday, 12 June 2001**

**Performance Issues, Program Development**

- 09:00 - 10:00 Marian Bubak, AGH & Acad. Comp. Center - Krakow  
(talk given by Roland Wismüller)  
*Proposal of the Tool Support for Grid Application Monitoring*
- 10:00 Coffee
- 10:15 - 11:15 Barton P. Miller, Univ. Wisconsin - Madison  
*Playing Inside the Blackbox: Using Dynamic Instrumentation  
to Create Security Holes*
- 11:15 - 12:15 Arnaud Legrand, ENS - Lyon  
*Heterogeneity Considered Harmful to Algorithm Designers*
- 12:15 - 14:00 Lunch
- 14:00 - 15:00 Lennart Johnsson  
*Grid Application Development - The Ultimate Challenge for  
Code Portability and Adaptivity*
- 15:00 - 15:30 Coffee and cookies
- 15:30 - 18:00 *Presentations and Discussion on Large-Scale Grid Testbeds*  
Moderators: Volker Sander (Globus), Thilo Kielmann (DAS),  
Florian Schintke (DataGrid), Steve Chapin (Legion),  
André Merzky (Cactus)
- 18:00 Dinner

**Wednesday, 13 June 2001**

**Grid Infrastructure**

09:00 - 10:00	André Merzky, ZIB Berlin <i>Thoughts about Data Management in Grid Environments</i>
10:00 - 10:15	Coffee
10:15 - 11:15	Thilo Kielmann, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam <i>Grid-aware Communication Components</i>
11:15 - 12:15	Domenico Talia, ISI-CNR <i>Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining in Grid-Based Distributed Environments</i>
12:15 - 14:00	Lunch
14:00 - 18:00	Hike
18:00	Dinner

**Thursday, 14 June 2001**

**Grid Infrastructure (contd.)**

- 09:00 - 10:00 Steve Chapin, Syracuse University  
*Internet2/Qbone Bandwidth Broker*
- 10:00 - 10:15 Coffee
- 10:15 - 11:15 Ramin Yahyapour, Universität Dortmund  
*NWIRE Resource-Management-System*
- 11:15 - 12:15 Jean-Marc Nicod, Besançon  
*Computational Servers in a Metacomputing Environment*
- 12:15 - 14:00 Lunch

**Metacomputer Components**

- 14:00 - 15:00 Alexander Reinefeld, ZIB and HU Berlin  
*Integrating Local Resources into the Grid: The Resource Specification Problem*
- 15:00 - 15:45 Roland Wismüller, TU Munich  
*Monitoring and Performance Analysis in Distributed Systems*
- 15:45 - 16:15 Coffee and cookies
- 16:15 - 18:00 Florian Schintke, ZIB Berlin; Lord Hess, Volker Lindenstruth,  
KIP Heidelberg  
*How to Build a Reliable Cluster for the Grid*
- 18:00 Dinner

## **3 Abstracts of the Presentations**

### **3.1 Scheduling Computational Grids: A Five-Year Retrospective**

Jon B. Weissman

Department of Computer Science University of Minnesota, Twin Cities

This talk reflects our recent experience in scheduling computational Grids over the past five years. We begin by defining the space of schedulers along four dimensions: application model, scheduling type, performance metrics, and Grid assumptions. We then present several scheduling models achieved by making "vertical slices" across this space, implementations of these models, and performance results. In particular, we will present schedulers for data-parallel applications in both LAN and WAN environments, and a metascheduler that can schedule multi-site meta-applications, both guided by a completion time metric. We conclude with thoughts relating to a new class of schedulers based on coordination of different application schedulers.

### **3.2 Co-Allocation in Wide-Area Systems**

Dick Epema

Delft University of Technology

In order to benefit from computing capacity available in multiple locations, large applications may require co-allocation, that is, the simultaneous assignment of processors under the management of multiple independent resource managers. An important impediment to having parallel applications run in this way may be the relatively slow wide-area connections. We present simulation results for co-allocation in wide-area systems modelled after our Distributed ASCI Supercomputer for varying speed ratios between local and wide-area links, and for different request types made by parallel jobs. These

types range from simply stating the total number of processors required, to stating the precise numbers of processors needed in each of the participating sites.

In addition, we present some ideas for extending our previous work on flocking in Condor to resource discovery for co-allocation. In particular, rather than having co-allocation actions resemble database transactions with two-phase commit, which has been advocated elsewhere, we propose an optimistic scheme in which a local resource manager exchanges information on resource availability with other sites and takes co-allocation decisions on its own, and in which applications subsequently co-claim the tentatively assigned resources.

This is joint work with Anca Bucur, also from Delft University.

### **3.3 Evaluation of Scheduling Algorithms for Grid Computing**

Uwe Schwiegelshohn  
Computer Engineering Institute, University Dortmund

A necessary condition for computational grids is the willingness of a sufficient number of resource owners to provide their resources for the grid. As most resources are not exclusively used in the grid this will only happen if other (local) tasks of these machines are not significantly affected by the grid. This requires grid scheduling to especially consider policy constraints of the various owners. In the talk we explain a general path to derive first an objective function and then a scheduling algorithm from such a policy. This path is based on the existence of at least one typical user workload and uses multicriteria optimization and algorithmic evaluation with simulation. However, due to additional algorithmic constraints, like, for instance, online requirements, and due to differences between various available workloads the development of a suitable scheduling algorithm is an iterative process. Moreover, it is assumed that the workload will not be affected by the choice of the scheduling algorithm. This assumption may not be true as the user be-

havior is typically dependent on the circumstances of which the scheduling algorithm is an important part. Therefore, we suggest to include the user behavior into the simulation and evaluation model.

### **3.4 Bandwidth-centric allocation of independent tasks on heterogeneous platforms**

Larry Carter

University of California at San Diego

Joint work with Olivier Beaumont, Arnaud Legrand and Yves Robert (of ENS, Lyon) and Jeanne Ferrante (UCSD)

We consider the problem of allocating a large number of independent, equal-sized tasks to a heterogeneous "grid" computing platform. Such problems arise in collaborative computing efforts like SETI@home. We use a tree to model a grid, where resources can have different speeds of computation and communication, as well as different overlap capabilities. We define a base model, and show how to determine the maximum steady-state throughput of a node in the base model, assuming we already know the throughput of the subtrees rooted at the node's children. Thus, a bottom-up traversal of the tree determines the rate at which tasks can be processed in the full tree. The best allocation is 'bandwidth-centric': if enough bandwidth is available, then all nodes are kept busy; if bandwidth is limited, then tasks should be allocated only to the children which have sufficiently small communication times, regardless of their computation power.

We then show how nodes with other capabilities - ones that allow more or less overlapping of computation and communication than the base model - can be transformed to equivalent nodes in the base model. We also show how to handle a more general communication model.

Finally, we present simulation results of several demand-driven task allocation policies that show that our bandwidth-centric method obtains better results than allocating tasks to all processors on a first-come, first serve basis.

### 3.5 GARA: Advance Reservation Architecture and API

Volker Sander

Zentralinstitut für Angewandte Mathematik, Forschungszentrum  
Jülich GmbH

High-end networked applications such as distance visualization, distributed data analysis, and advanced collaborative environments have demanding quality of service (QoS) requirements. Particular challenges include concurrent flows with different QoS specifications, high bandwidth flows, application-level monitoring and control, and end-to-end QoS across networks and other devices. The presentation describes a QoS architecture and implementation that together help to address these challenges. The General-purpose Architecture for Reservation and Allocation (GARA) supports flow-specific QoS specification, immediate and advance reservation, and online monitoring and control of both individual resources and heterogeneous resource ensembles. Mechanisms provided by the Globus toolkit are used to address resource discovery and security issues when resources span multiple administrative domains. The prototype implementation builds on differentiated service mechanisms to enable the coordinated management of two distinct flow types—foreground media flows and background bulk transfers—as well as the co-reservation of networks, CPUs, and storage systems. To demonstrate GARA’s ability to deliver advance reservations for multiple Grid resources, several experimental results obtained on a differentiated services testbed will be presented.

### **3.6 Proposal of the Tool Support for Grid Application Monitoring**

Marian Bubak

Institute of Computer Science AGH, Krakow, Poland Academic  
Computer Centre – CYFRONET AGH, Krakow, Poland

This talk presents a concept of a tool environment for application monitoring on the Grid. Based on the different layers of the grid architecture, the data to be monitored on each layer is identified. An architecture for a monitoring system is proposed and a communication protocol between the different parts of the monitoring environment is discussed. We also focus on performance issues concerning the gathering of monitoring data and the scalability of the monitoring system.

### **3.7 Playing Inside the Blackbox: Using Dynamic Instrumentation to Create Security Holes**

Barton P. Miller

Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin

Programs in execution have long been considered to be immutable objects. Object code and libraries are emitted by the compiler, linked and then executed; any changes to the program require revisiting the compile or link steps. In contrast, we consider a running program to be an object that can be examined, instrumented, and re-arranged on the fly. The DynInst API provides a portable library for tool builders to construct tools that operate on a running program. Where previous tools might have required a special compiler, linker, or run-time library, tools based on DynInst can operate directly on unmodified binary programs during execution. In this talk, I will discuss how this technology can be used to subvert system security. The discussions will be based on two example cases: bypassing access to a license server (in Framemaker) and exposing vulnerabilities in a distributed scheduling system

(in Condor).

For the license-server study, we constructed a collection of Dyninst-based tools that allowed us to understand the control flow within the application (Framemaker) program. As a result, we were able to detect and remove Framemaker’s contact with the license server. In addition, there are frequent checks within Framemaker to see if it has cached a valid license credential. Using our Dyninst-based tools, we were able to locate and neutralize this check.

For the Condor study, we created ”lurker” processes that can be left latent on a host in the Condor pool. These lurker processes lie in wait for subsequent Condor jobs to arrive on the infected host. The lurker will then use Dyninst to attach to the newly-arrived victim job and take control. Once in control, the lurker can cause the victim job to make requests back to its home host, causing it execute almost any system call it would like.

For each of these cases, we provide suggestions as to how to make them less vulnerable to attack.

### **3.8 Heterogeneity Considered Harmful to Algorithm Designers**

Arnaud Legrand

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Laboratoire LIP,  
Projet CNRS-INRIA ReMaP

We present some algorithmic issues on heterogeneous platforms and more particularly load balancing problems raised by the implementation of dense linear algebra kernels on heterogeneous platforms. We show that on heterogeneous platforms, data dependencies, communication costs and control overhead severely impact classical dynamic schemes. Static strategies suppress (or at least minimize) data redistributions and memory management overhead while preserving parallelism but they cannot be used when some resources are shared. Anyway, on heterogeneous dedicated platforms, data distribution must obey a much more refined model than standard block-

cyclic distributions to equally balance the load between processors of different speeds. We present several NP-completeness results that demonstrate the intrinsic difficulty of static load-balancing on heterogeneous dedicated platforms. We also show that column-based heterogeneous distributions are easy to build, efficient and give a unified framework. To cope with speed variations during computations, we propose a set of basic operations enabling to perform limited redistribution of data and computations while preserving column-based distributions.

Static schemes (to cope with heterogeneity) and remapping strategies (to cope with speed variations during computations) are theoretical tools that should make possible the design of a ClusterLAPACK.

### **3.9 Grid Application Development: The Ultimate Challenge for code portability and adaptivity**

Lennart Johnsson

University of Houston and Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

In a Grid environment, application codes must execute correctly on a variety of platforms. It is highly desirable that the efficiency of execution is good, ideally optimal, regardless of what data set and what platform a code is executed on. This goal forces a need for code adaptivity, i.e., that techniques be employed to select algorithms as well as code generation and scheduling techniques that adapt to both problems at hand and execution environments. In this presentation we will present an overall strategy towards a Grid Application Development Software system, and specific approaches and results for generating adaptive software for the Fast Fourier Transform, specifically the UHFFT software library package.

### **3.10 Thoughts about Data Management in Grid Environments**

Andre Merzky  
Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin (ZIB)

Data intensive applications as Genom Sequenzing, High Energy Physics and Grand Challenge simulations act as strong driving forces for nowadays Grid development – the amount of data produced or processed by these applications seems not to be manageable by other techniques known today. Actually, Grid Data Management is also not yet existent, but it is a topic heavily worked on in various groups world wide. These efforts are coordinated by the 'Remote Data Access' working group of the 'Global Grid Forum', a standardization body for Grid middleware development.

The present talk describes the concept space of Grid Data Management as seen by this group, and exemplarily highlights recent advantages in some of its components: Data Transport, Storage System Properties, and Replica Management. The GGF working group proves its ability to drive actual development in this exciting area of large scale distributed computing.

### **3.11 Grid-aware Communication Components**

Thilo Kielmann  
Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam

In Grid computing platforms, application-performance strongly depends on communication costs. Between the local and wide-area networks, the network performance differs by orders of magnitude. This asks for Grid-aware communication components. In this talk, I present three such components developed at our group. The first one is the Satin system for running parallel (Java) divide-and-conquer applications on Grid platforms. The second one is the Replicated Method Invocation mechanism (RepMI) that allows efficient

sharing of Java objects. The third and last one is our MagPIe library that optimizes MPI's collective communication for Grid platforms.

The observation underlying Satin's system design is that divide-and-conquer parallelism is inherently hierarchical, matching the hierarchical LAN/WAN structure of Grid platforms – if the load-balancing takes the Grid cluster hierarchy into account. For Satin, we propose a new load balancing scheme, called cluster-aware random work stealing. This scheme is highly efficient, self-adaptive to (changing) WAN parameters, and trivial to implement.

Besides scheduling and load balancing, applications also need to share common data objects. For this purpose, we developed the Replicated Method Invocation scheme (RepMI) that resembles Java's RMI as much as possible while replicating objects to the participating processes. RepMI combines an efficient implementation with an easy-to-use programming interface. However, on Grid platforms, RepMI's consistency model may cause undesirably high communication overhead, especially when the application's locality of write operations is weak. Those applications can benefit from collective communication operations, like the ones known from MPI.

Our MagPIe library implements MPI's collective operations with minimal completion time for clustered Grid systems. MagPIe is based on the P-LogP performance model that covers the performance aspects needed for modelling collective operations. We are able to build collectives that efficiently use WAN links by using message segmentation and communication graph shape optimization. Work in progress is the integration of the various Grid-aware components in order to build a widely applicable Grid programming toolkit.

### **3.12 Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining in Grid-Based Distributed Environments**

Domenico Talia, P. Trunfio  
ISI - CNR, Rende

Knowledge discovery tools and techniques are used in an increasing number of scientific and commercial areas for the analysis of large data sources. When large data repositories are coupled with geographic distribution of data, users and systems, it is necessary to combine different technologies for implementing high-performance distributed knowledge discovery systems. On the other hand, computational grid is emerging as a very promising infrastructure for high-performance distributed computing. This talk presents a software architecture for parallel and distributed knowledge discovery (PDKD) systems built on top of computational grid services that provide dependable, consistent, and pervasive access to high-end computational resources. The architecture, named Knowledge Grid, uses the basic grid services and defines a set of additional layers to implement the services of distributed knowledge discovery process on grid-connected sequential or parallel computers.

This is joint work with Mario Cannataro and P. Trunfio.

### **3.13 The Internet2 Qbone Bandwidth Broker**

Steve Chapin  
Syracuse University, Dept. of EE & CS

The scheduling of computational resources in metacomputers has been well understood for several years, but the same cannot be said of the scheduling of networking resources. The standard practice has been to assume that adequate, appropriate networking resources will be available to serve any job. Bandwidth Brokers manage network resources within individual autonomous domains, and interact with peer bandwidth brokers to provide end-to-end Quality-of-Service guarantees to user processes. This talk describes the role,

design, and use of Bandwith Brokers within the Internet2 Quality-of-Service Backbone (Qbone) to manage network bandwidth within IETF Differentiated Services (DiffServ) networks.

### **3.14 The NWIRE Resource Management Architecture**

Ramin Yahyapour

Computer Engineering Institute, University Dortmund

This talk presents a management infrastructure for distributed resources in a Grid environment as developed in the research prototype during the NWIRE project. The work focuses on the specific requirements in job scheduling, as there is need for differentiated objectives, guarantees and reservation. As resources are provided by different owners and often not dedicated for grid use, site-autonomy has to be taken into account. Therefore the NWIRE infrastructure uses a domain-based approach that keeps the resource control fully to the local administration while an additional trader/broker (called MetaManager) interacts with other Grid domains.

Different scheduling implementations can interact with each other by using request and offer mechanisms. It is shown that market-economic approaches may be suitable for Grid scheduling. First simulations show results in the range of conventional scheduling methods as backfilling for common measures as completion time and utilization.

### **3.15 Integrating Local Resources into the Grid: The Resource Specification Problem**

Jean-Marc Nicod

Université de Franche-Comté, Laboratoire LIFC

In this talk the architecture and the algorithms used in DIET (Distributed Interactive Engineering Toolbox) has been presented, a hierarchical set of components to build Network Enabled Server applications in a Grid environments. This environment is build on top of different tools which are able to locate an appropriate server depending of the request sent by a client, the data that may be computed in previous requests (and thus located on another server) and the dynamic performance characteristics of the system. The services developed to achieve the aim of DIET are based on the CORBA norme.

### **3.16 Integrating Local Resources into the Grid: The Resource Specification Problem**

Alexander Reinefeld

Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin (ZIB) and Humboldt Universität zu Berlin (HU)

In Grid computing environments, the execution of distributed applications requires the detection, the selection, and the (co-) allocation of resources. A resource, in this context, might be understood as a computer (e.g., a high-performance system or a simple PC), a network link (e.g., a system area network, a LAN- or WAN-link), a switch, a storage system (e.g., a tape robot), a special hardware device (e.g., a protein sequencing machine), a software package, or even a human expert service.

In this talk, we focus on methods for specifying resources in the Grid. We present a graph-based method that builds on the XML standard. It can be used for specifying both, resource offers and resource requests. Our method

uses attributed hierarchical graphs, where the vertices represent processors (or processes) and the edges represent network links (or communication channels). Compared to the popular Globus approach, which uses the Resource Specification Language RSL for requests and the Metacomputer Directory Service MDS, our approach is symmetrical, that is, the same representation (and the same tools) can be used for both sides. Moreover, the matching between resource requests and resource offers can be done with existing graph matching algorithms. This is especially favorable for systems operated in space-sharing mode.

### **3.17 Monitoring and Performance Analysis in Distributed Systems**

Roland Wismüller  
Technische Universität München

Both the development of efficient Grid applications and the optimal management of Grid resources depend on the ability to monitor the run-time behavior of these applications and resources. The talk addresses two aspects: low level monitoring and high level performance analysis.

With the On-line Monitoring Interface Specification OMIS, a flexible and extensible interface between on-line tools and their target systems has been defined. Its basic concepts are an object-based model of the target system, the event/action-paradigm, services operating on sets of objects, and location transparency. The currently existing implementation for networks of workstations proves that monitoring requests can be executed in an efficient, distributed way. Thus, the OMIS interface and its implementation offer a good basis for Grid monitoring, too.

Performance analysis on the Grid imposes some specific problems. First, we have to deal with very large applications, where event tracing becomes impossible due to the amount of data that would have to be generated and transmitted. Second, the programmer usually doesn't know the architectural details of the Grid resources, so he can not easily evaluate the acquired data.

We thus think that the Grid requires an automated form of on-line performance analysis. Based on concepts developed in the APART working group, we propose a hierarchical agent based architecture for automatic performance evaluation and discuss different strategies for searching and locating performance bottlenecks.

### **3.18 How to Build a Reliable Cluster for the Grid**

Florian Schintke

Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin (ZIB)

Lord Hess

Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Universität Heidelberg

Two new paradigms are changing the way we do computing: Clusters and Grids. Both have been born by the need for more economical means for high-performance computing: Clusters employ cost-effective commodity components for building powerful computers, and Grids allow to better utilize the computing resources that are available on the Internet.

In this talk, we present the architectural framework of a HPC cluster that employs mass market components for high-performance computing. Our major design goals are scalability to some thousand nodes, reliability, and low maintenance overhead.

Building on the cluster as a compute node, we present middleware for the integration of compute servers in a worldwide grid environment. Here, the focus is on the management of distributed resources, work-load balancing, scheduling and other grid services.

## 4 List of Participants

Larry Carter  
University of California at San Diego  
Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering  
9500 Gilman Drive  
CA 92093-0114 La Jolla  
phone: +1-858-534-62 65  
fax: +1-858-822-1559  
carter@cs.ucsd.edu  
<http://www.cse.ucsd.edu/users/carter/>

Steve Chapin  
Syracuse University  
Dept. of EE & CS  
NY 13244 Syracuse  
phone: +1-315-443-4457  
fax: +1-315-443-1122  
chapin@ecs.syr.edu  
<http://www.hpdc.syr.edu/~chapin>

Dick H.J. Epema  
Delft University of Technology  
Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics  
Mekelweg 4  
NL-2600 GA Delft  
phone: +31-15-278-3853  
fax: +31-15-278-7141  
epema@cs.tudelft.nl  
<http://www.pds.twi.tudelft.nl/~epema/>

Jeanne Ferrante  
University of California at San Diego  
Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering  
9500 Gilman Drive  
CA 92093-0114 La Jolla  
phone: +1-858-534-8406  
fax: +1-858-822-1559  
ferrante@cs.ucsd.edu  
<http://www.cse.ucsd.edu/users/ferrante/>

Lord Manfred Hess  
Universität Heidelberg  
Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik  
Schröderstr. 90  
D-69120 Heidelberg  
phone: +49-6221-54-4323  
fax: +49-6221-54-4345  
hess@kip.uni-heidelberg.de  
<http://www.kip.uni-heidelberg.de/>

S. Lennart Johnsson  
University of Houston  
Dept. of Computer Science  
592 Philipp G. Hoffman Hall  
4800 Calhoun Street  
TX 77204-3475 Houston  
phone: +1-713-743-3371  
fax: +1-713-743-3376  
johnsson@cs.uh.edu  
<http://www.cs.uh.edu/~johnsson/>

Thilo Kielmann  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Wiskunde en Informatica  
De Boelelaan 1081a  
NL-1081 HV Amsterdam  
phone: +31 20 44 47789  
fax: +31 20 44 47653  
thilo.kielmann@acm.org  
<http://www.cs.vu.nl/~kielmann/>

Arnaud Legrand  
Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon  
Laboratoire LIP  
Projet CNRS-INRIA ReMaP  
46 allée d'Italie  
F-69364 Lyon Cedex 07  
fax: +33-4-72 72 80 80  
arnaud.legrand@ens-lyon.fr  
<http://www.ens-lyon.fr/~alegrand/>

Thomas Ludwig  
Universität Heidelberg  
Institut für Informatik  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 368  
D-69120 Heidelberg  
phone: +49-6221-54-5750  
fax: +49-6221-54-8877  
thomas.ludwig@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de  
<http://www.informatik.uni-heidelberg.de/~Thomas.Ludwig/>

André Merzky  
Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik  
Dept. Visualization  
Takustr. 7  
D-14195 Berlin  
phone: +49-30-841-85-339  
fax: +49-30-841-85 107  
merzky@zib.de  
<http://www.zib.de/merzky>

Barton P. Miller  
University of Wisconsin  
Computer Sciences Dept.  
1210 W. Dayton St.  
WI 53706 Madison  
phone: +1-608-263 3378  
fax: +1-608-262 9777  
bart@cs.wisc.edu  
<http://www.cs.uisc.edu/~bart/>

Jean-Marc Nicod  
Université de Franche-Comté  
Laboratoire LIFC  
30, Route de Gray  
F-25030 Besançon  
phone: +33-3-81 66 20 68  
fax: +33-3-81 66 64 50  
Jean-Marc.Nicod@univ-fcomte.fr  
<http://lifc.univ-fcomte.fr>

Alexander Reinefeld  
Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik  
Computer Science Research  
Takustr. 7  
D-14195 Berlin  
fax: +49 30 84185-311  
reinefeld@zib.de  
<http://www.zib.de/reinefeld/>

Volker Sander  
Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH  
Zentralinstitut für Angewandte Mathematik  
D-51425 Jülich  
phone: +49-2461-61 65 86  
fax: +49-2461-61 66 56  
v.sander@fz-juelich.de

Florian Schintke  
Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik  
Computer Science Research  
Takustr. 7  
D-14195 Berlin  
phone: +49-30-841-85-306  
fax: +49-30-841-85-311  
schintke@zib.de  
<http://www.zib.de/schintke/>

Uwe Schwiegelshohn  
Universität Dortmund  
FB Elektro- und Informationstechnik  
Otto-Hahn-Str. 4  
D-44221 Dortmund  
phone: +49-231-755-26 34  
fax: +49-231-755-32 51  
uwe.schwiegelshohn@udo.edu  
<http://www-ds.e-technik.uni-dortmund.de/WEB-D/Mitarbeiter/schwiegelshohn.shtml>

Achim Streit  
Universität Paderborn  
Center for Parallel Computing  
Fürstenallee 11  
D-33102 Paderborn  
phone: +49-5251-60 63 31  
fax: +49-5251-60 62 97  
streit@uni-paderborn.de  
<http://www.uni-paderborn.de/pc2/>

Domenico Talia  
ISI - CNR  
Via P. Bucci  
I-87030 Rende  
phone: +39-0984-831-725  
fax: +39-0984-839-054  
talia@si.deis.unical.it  
<http://isi-cnr.deis.unical.it:1080/~talia/>

Jon B. Weissman  
University of Minnesota  
Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering  
Twin Cities Campus - 4-192 EE/CS  
200 Union Street S.E.  
MN 55455 Minneapolis  
phone: +1-651-291-8898  
fax: +1-612-625-0572  
jon@cs.umn.edu  
<http://www.cs.umn.edu/~jon/>

Roland Wismüller TU München  
Institut für Informatik  
LST Rechnertechnik & Rechnerorganisation  
Arcisstr. 21  
D-80290 München  
phone: +49-89-289-28243  
fax: +49-89-289-28232  
wismuell@in.tum.de  
<http://wwwbode.in.tum.de/~wismuell/>

Ramin Yahyapour  
Universität Dortmund  
FB Elektro- und Informationstechnik  
LST Datenverarbeitungssysteme  
Otto-Hahn-Str. 4  
D-44221 Dortmund  
phone: +49-231-755-27 35  
fax: +49-231-755-32 51  
yahya@ds.e-technik.uni-dortmund.de  
<http://www-ds.e-technik.uni-dortmund.de/>