

Visualization of Biological Data - From Analysis to Communication

Agenda Outline and Guiding Questions for Panels

Note: Please note that all Dagstuhl agendas are extremely tentative, and that radical modifications are expected after the first on-site meeting.

Monday morning: Introduction and Collaboration / Communication through New Tools

8:45-9:00 Welcome and Overview (Karsten and Kay)

9:00-9:45 Introduction of every participant (Wiki, recorded)

9:50-10:30 *Panel (part 1): Collaborations (5 mins)* - moderator Timo Liz Marai, Marc Streit, Jim Procter, Timo Ropinski

Suggested Questions for Panel:

- How do we find collaborators?
- What are the incentives for this type of collaboration (researcher-level and community-level)?
- How do we establish awareness of what vis can offer? (not just ML and stats)
- How to work with a visualization or a biology researcher?
- What is the cost of maintaining a deployed tool?
- How do we measure success (e.g., biologists cite the site or tool name, not the paper describing the tool) ?
- How can visualization (human in the loop) be "sliced" into analysis pipelines (like Unix pipes)?

10:30-11:00 Coffee Break

11:00-11:40 *Panel (part 2):* Marc Streit, Jim Procter, Timo Ropinski - moderator Kay

11:45-12:15 *Overview Talk: (20+10 min.): Collaborations - session chair Kay*
Katy Borner/Andi Bueckle

12:15-13:45 Lunch (task: discuss goals and outcomes of breakout groups)

Monday afternoon: Collaboration (cont'd) and Interactive Analysis

13:45-14:15 *Regular Talks (10+5 min. local discussion) - session chair Karsten*
Alex Lex, Danielle Szafir (recorded/live)

14:15-15:15 *Overview Talk (20+10 min.): Interactive Analysis - session chair Karsten*
Nils Gehlenborg

15:15-15:30 Planning for breakout groups (collection of subtopics until sunday evening) - Karsten

15:30-16:00 Afternoon Coffee Break

16:00-17:15 *Group Work: Collaboration or Interactive Analysis*

Breakout session

Working Group 1: (Chair:)

Working Group 2: (Chair:)

Working Group 3: (Chair:)

Working Group 4: (Chair:)

17:30-18:00 Updates from working groups - session chair Karsten

18:00-19:00 Dinner

19:00-20:00 Open discussions, beer/wine (honor system), music

20:00-22:00 Cheese platter

Tuesday morning: Interactive Analysis (cont'd) and Data Abstraction

8:45-10:00 *Panel: Interactive Analysis (5 mins.)*

Jan Aerts, Bruno Pinaud, Falk Schreiber, Andreas Kerren

Suggested Questions

- What are good and bad examples (tools, methods)?
- What are the killer applications for interactive data visualization in this space?
- How do you serve the needs of a broad spectrum of users?
- How do you integrate into existing, complex data ecosystems?
- Who are the key influencers in this field who could promote interactive data visualization?
- How could interactivity be published to convince reviewers and more so future users: use cases for “bench marking” or similar?
- What challenges/open unsolved problems are faced in the future?
E.g.
 - Do we need new /better visual encodings?
 - How can multivariate/multimodal big data and interactive visualisation be better integrated?
 - Data security issues (medical/patient data) and interactive data vis?

10:00-10:30 *Regular Talks Interactive Analysis (10 mins + 5 local discussion)*

Will Ray, Charlotte Sonesson (recorded/live)

10:30-11:00 Coffee Break

11:00-11:30 *Overview Talk: Data Abstraction* Larry Hunter (recorded)

11:30-12:15 *Regular Talks Data Abstraction (10 mins + 5 local discussion)*

Miha Štajdohar, Steven Koburov, Lynda Hardman (live/recorded)

12:15-13:45 Lunch

Tuesday afternoon: Data Abstraction (cont'd)

13:45-15:00 *Panel: Data Abstraction (5 mins.)*

Michael Krone, Karsten Klein, Granger Sutton

Suggested Questions

- What current challenges and use-cases require or could benefit from data abstraction?
- Which methods and metaphors can we use for abstraction?
- Which evidence exists for usability of existing methods?
- How can we evaluate quality and usability of abstraction?
- What are challenges and pitfalls in the use of abstraction?
- What are good and bad examples (tools, methods)?
- What is the interplay of visual data abstraction and data aggregation?
- What is the role of different levels of abstraction and how to combine them?
- How can we better integrate semantics into visual data abstractions?

15:00-15:30 Planning session for working group distributions

15:30-16:00 Afternoon Coffee Break

16:00-17:30 **Breakout session**

Working Group 1: (Chair:)

Working Group 2: (Chair:)

Working Group 3: (Chair:)

Working Group 4: (Chair:)

17:30-18:00 Updates from working groups

18:00-19:00 Dinner

19:00-22:00 Open discussions, beer/wine (honor system), music

20:00-22:00 Cheese platter

Wednesday morning: Curriculum

8:45-10:30 *Overview Talk (30 mins)* Torsten Möller, Barbora Kozlikova

Working Group All: A curriculum for visualisation

10:30-11:00 Coffee Break

11:00-12:15 Breakout Session: Working Groups continue

12:15-13:45 Lunch

Wednesday afternoon: Explainable AI

13:45-14:15 *Overview Talk:* Cagatay Turkay

14:15-15:30 *Panel: Explainable AI (5 mins.)*

Guadalupe Canahuate, Hagit Shatkay, Katja Buhler, Blaz Zupan

Suggested Questions

- What is the role of visualization in XAI?

- Are visualization-based XAI methods general, or are they used to target specific machine learning models and approaches?
- What are the typical visualization methods and metaphors we can use for XAI?
- How do visualizations that explain machine learning models address reliability? Do visual explanations reveal how reliable models are? Is there a danger of explaining unreliable models and being carried out by the beauty of visualization?
- Can visualizations explain only simple models, or can they reveal more complex feature interactions? If, in what way?
- How do we integrate semantics, or background knowledge, in visual explanations? For instance, how can we combine data and ontology information about concepts and terms?
- How close are visual explanations to those that a human would craft?
- What are the challenges in evaluating visual explanations?
- What are the historical roots of visual explanations? Are there typical visual explanations already invented, or does XAI require new visualization metaphors that stem from explaining deep models?
- Do we need to explain deep models, or should we build simpler models that directly translate to visual explanations?

15:30-16:00 Coffee Break

16:00-17:00 *Regular Talks:* Carsten Gorg, Mennatallah El-Assady, Raghu Machiraju

17:00-17:30 Assembly of all: report on progress in working groups

17:30-18:00 Free time, self-organize or have a walk...

18:00-19:00 Dinner in Dagstuhl

19:30-22:00 Open discussions, beer/wine (honor system), music

20:00-22:00 Cheese platter

Thursday morning: Hiking and Biking (for participants on site)

12:15-13:15 Lunch

Thursday afternoon: Breakout cont'd

13:45-15:30 Breakout session

Working Group 1: (Chair:)

Working Group 2: (Chair:)

Working Group 3: (Chair:)

Working Group 4: (Chair:)

15:30-16:00 Afternoon Coffee Break

16:00-16:30 **Group photo on castle steps**

16:30-17:30 Breakout Session cont'd

17:30-18:00 Results of Working Groups, Plenary discussion

18:00-19:00 *Dinner*

19:00-20:00 Open discussions, beer/wine (honor system), music

20:00-22:00 *Cheese platter*

Friday morning: Wrap ups

9:00-10:30 First morning session - Wrap up / finalize writing

10:30-11:00 *Coffee Break*

10:30-12:00 Second morning session - Wrap up / finalize writing

12:15 *Lunch and end of seminar*

Afterwards *Departure*