		Dagstuhl seminar 16161 — Natural Language Arg	gumentation: Mining, Processing, and Reasoning over	r Textual Arguments — 18–22 April 2016		
			Revised 2016-04-17			
	Except where noted, all talks ar	e 20 minutes, including questions, leaving 10–20 minu	tes at the end of the session for more questions and g	the end of the session for more questions and general discussion. Chairs will be asked to enforce time limits without favour or mercy!		
r	Monday 18 April	Tuesday 19 April	Wednesday 20 April	Thursday 21 April	Friday 22 April	
50-10:20 1	I. Introduction	5. Legal Argumentation	9. From natural language to formal models of argume	11. Argumentation and humans	15. Discussion	
	Introduction. <i>Tony Hunter</i> , tutorial: Structured argumentation.	Chair: Francesca Toni • <u>Vern Walker</u> : The need for annotated corpora from legal documents, and for (human) protocols for creating them: The attribution problem • <u>Michal Araszkiewicz</u> : Case-based reasoning structures in statutory interpretation • <u>Leon van der Torre</u> : Norms and arguments • <u>Kevin Ashley</u> : Putting argument mining to work: an experiment in legal argument retrieval using the LUIMA type system and pipeline	Chair: Nancy Green [18-min talks] • <u>Pietro Baroni</u> , Massimiliano Giacomin, and Beishui Liao: Uncertainty and fuzziness from natural language to argumentation models • <u>Adam Wyner</u> , Anthony Hunter, and Tom Van Engers : Working on the argument pipeline: Through flow issues between natural language argument, instantiated arguments, and argumentation frameworks • Leila Amgoud, Philippe Besnard, and <u>Anthony Hunter</u> : Logical representation and analysis for RC-arguments • <u>Federico Cerutti</u> : Interfaces to formal argumentation <u>Francesca Toni</u> ; Towards relation-based argumentation mining	<u>Fabio Paglieri</u> : A plea for sustainable argument	Issues, challenges, ideas, prospects, next steps.	
0:20-10:35	Coffee break	Coffee break	Coffee break	Coffee break	Coffee break	
0:35:12:15 2	2. Tutorials	6. Argument mining and the Web	10. Unshared Untask, session III	12. Argument mining for writing support	16. Discussion; closing	
n	 Claire Cardie , turorial: Sentiment and argument mining. Questions and discussions (both tutorials). 	 Chair: Laura Alonso Alemany Henning Wachsmuth and <u>Benno Stein</u>: "PageRank" for argument relevance <u>Gioraos Flouris</u>, Antonis Bikakis, Theodore Patkos and Dimitris Plexousakis: Argument extraction challenges in a new web paradigm <u>Smaranda Muresan</u>: Argumentation mining in online interactions: Opportunities and challenges <u>Elena Cabrio</u>: Tweeties squabbling: Argument mining on social media 	Access dataset III for each group. Discuss, analyse, and take notes.	Chair: Simone Teufel • Christian Stab and <u>Iryna Gurevych</u> : Argumentative writing support: structure identification and quality assessment of arguments • <u>Diane Litman</u> : Temporal argument mining for writing assistance • <u>Robert Mercer</u> ; Locating and extracting key components of argumentation from scholarly scientific writing • <u>Nancy Green</u> : On recognizing argumentation schemes in formal text genres	Issues, challenges, ideas, prospects, next steps.	
12:15-13:30	Lunch	Lunch	Lunch	Lunch	Lunch	
C ii a a E E	n MST-style discourse parsing for argumentation mining <u>Patrick Saint-Dizier</u> : Argument mining: The bottleneck of knowledge <u>Vincent Na</u> ; Experiments on under-studied rgumentation mining tasks: Argument strength scoring and reason classification Orith Toledo-Ronen, Roy Bar-Haim and <u>Noam Slonim</u> : ixpert stance graphs for computational argumentation Mark Snaith, John Lawrence and Chris Reed : Mining irguments and protocols [presented by <u>Katarzyna</u>] <u>Budzynska</u>]	7. Unshared Untask, session II Access dataset II for each group. Discuss, analyse, and take notes.	Excursion to Völklingen Hütte	13. Unshared Untask, plenary wrap-up Discussion with all groups about the data, the notes, and a preliminary sketch of the paper.		
15:30-16:00	Coffee and cake	Coffee and cake		Coffee and cake		
<u>//</u> F	 Unshared Untask, session I val Habernal and Adam Wyner — Introduction. Formation of groups. Access dataset I for each group. Discuss, analyse, and take notes. 	 Argumentation mining: other applications Chair: Claire Cardie <u>Graeme Hirst</u> and Nona Naderi: Crowdsourced and expert annotations for argument frame discovery <u>Ian Šnaider</u>: Social media argumentation mining: The quest for deliberateness in raucousness <u>Iodi Schneider</u> and Richard D. Boyce: Medication safety as a use case for argumentation mining 		14. By request Chair: Elena Cabrio This session is reserved for extra talks, new ideas, and discussions that any participant may initiate. If you'd like some time in this session, please ask Elena.		
		Rizkiyanto, Courtney Schriek, Jan Martijn van der Werf and <u>Floris Bex</u> : Design reasoning and design rationale				