

Taxonomic Partitioning of the Gene Ontology

Wacław Kuśnierczyk

Department of Information and Computer Science

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

email: waku@idi.ntnu.no

Dagstuhl Seminar 07132, March 28, 2007

Presentation Outline

Motivation

Goals

Organism-Feature Relation Patterns

Positive Patterns

Negative Patterns

Logical Framework

Semantics of Organism-Feature Relations

Inference over Organism-Feature Relations

Discussion

Problems

Questions

Motivation

Observe the following:

- ▶ “The Gene Ontology (GO) project is a collaborative effort to construct and use ontologies to facilitate the biologically meaningful annotation of genes and their products in a **wide variety of organisms**” [GOC06]
- ▶ Some GO terms are **universal** — they represent molecular functions, biological processes, or cellular components (‘features’) found in (**all?**) organisms of **all** taxa; others are not.
- ▶ The sense of ‘sensu’.
- ▶ If GO terms are appropriately linked to the corresponding terms in the Taxonomy of Species (TS), the GO can be **partitioned on demand**, based on various taxonomic criteria.

Goals

What might be useful:

1. To investigate the **patterns of relations** between organisms and their features.
2. To **logically formalize** these patterns.
3. To investigate the possibility of **automated reasoning** involving these patterns.

Organism-Feature Relations

There are a few organism-feature relation patterns:

1. The **all-some** pattern.

- ▶ *Cells are structures present in all vertebrates — **all** vertebrates have **some** cells as parts.*

Organism-Feature Relations

There are a few organism-feature relation patterns:

1. The all-some pattern.

- ▶ *Cells are structures present in all vertebrates — all vertebrates have some cells as parts.*

2. The **some-some** pattern.

- ▶ *Wings are structures present in some vertebrates — **some** vertebrates have **some** wings as parts.*

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

There are a few organism-feature relation patterns:

3. The **recursive some-some** pattern.

- ▶ *Vertebrae are structures present in some organisms of every vertebrate species — **all** vertebrate species include **some** organisms that have **some** vertebrae as parts.*

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

There are a few organism-feature relation patterns:

3. The recursive some-some pattern.

- ▶ *Vertebrae are structures present in some organisms of every vertebrate species — all vertebrate species include some organisms that have some vertebrae as parts.*

4. The **only-some** pattern.

- ▶ *Plant cell walls are structures present only in plants — **only** plants have **some** plant cells walls as parts.*

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

We can also specify **negative** (complementary) organism-feature relation patterns:

5. The **negative all-some** pattern.

- ▶ It is **not** the case that **all** formicidae (ants) have some wings as parts — **some** ants **do not** have wings (though some ants of all ant species do have wings).

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

We can also specify **negative** (complementary) organism-feature relation patterns:

5. The **negative all-some** pattern.

- ▶ *It is not the case that all formicidae (ants) have some wings as parts — some ants do not have wings (though some ants of all ant species do have wings).*

6. The **negative some-some** pattern.

- ▶ *It is not the case that some vertebrates have plant cell walls as parts — no vertebrate has a plant cell wall as a feature.*

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

We can also specify **negative** (complementary) organism-feature relation patterns:

7. The **negative recursive some-some** pattern.
 - ▶ It is *not* the case that *some* organisms of *all* vertebrate species have wings as features — in *some* vertebrate species there are *no* wings to be found in *any* organism.

Organism-Feature Relations (contd)

We can also specify **negative** (complementary) organism-feature relation patterns:

7. The negative recursive some-some pattern.

- ▶ *It is not the case that some organisms of all vertebrate species have wings as features — in some vertebrate species there are no wings to be found in any organism.*

8. The **negative only-some** pattern.

- ▶ *It is **not** the case that cell walls are found **only** in plants — fungi and bacteria do have cell walls as well (although not plant cell walls).*

Logical Formalization

We introduce a simple representation language, \mathcal{L}_{OF} .

Syntax:

- ▶ Φ_{AS} -sentences: (all-some t g)
- ▶ Φ_{SS} -sentences: (some-some t g)
- ▶ Φ_{RSS} -sentences: (recursive-some-some t g)
- ▶ Φ_{OS} -sentences: (only-some t g)

where $t \in V_T$, $g \in V_G$, and V_T, V_G are sets of taxon names (TS terms) and feature names (GO terms), respectively.

Logical Formalization (contd)

We introduce a simple language, \mathcal{L}_{OF}

Semantics:

- ▶ $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{U}, I, \triangleright \rangle$, an **interpretation**.
- ▶ \mathcal{U} , the **universe** of \mathcal{I} , with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_O \cup \mathcal{U}_o \cup \mathcal{U}_F \cup \mathcal{U}_f$, and \mathcal{U}_O and \mathcal{U}_o sets of classes of organisms and of individual organisms, respectively, and \mathcal{U}_F , and \mathcal{U}_f sets of classes of features and of individual features, respectively.

Logical Formalization (contd)

We introduce a simple language, \mathcal{L}_{OF}

Semantics:

- ▶ $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{U}, I, \triangleright \rangle$, an **interpretation**.
- ▶ \mathcal{U} , the universe of \mathcal{I} , with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_O \cup \mathcal{U}_o \cup \mathcal{U}_F \cup \mathcal{U}_f$, and \mathcal{U}_O and \mathcal{U}_o sets of classes of organisms and of individual organisms, respectively, and \mathcal{U}_F , and \mathcal{U}_f sets of classes of features and of individual features, respectively.
- ▶ I , a **mapping** from the vocabulary $V = V_T \cup V_G$ into the universe \mathcal{U} , with $I : V_T \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_O$, $I : V_G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_F$.

Logical Formalization (contd)

We introduce a simple language, \mathcal{L}_{OF}

Semantics:

- ▶ $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{U}, I, \triangleright \rangle$, an **interpretation**.
- ▶ \mathcal{U} , the universe of \mathcal{I} , with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_O \cup \mathcal{U}_o \cup \mathcal{U}_F \cup \mathcal{U}_f$, and \mathcal{U}_O and \mathcal{U}_o sets of classes of organisms and of individual organisms, respectively, and \mathcal{U}_F , and \mathcal{U}_f sets of classes of features and of individual features, respectively.
- ▶ I , a mapping from the vocabulary $V = V_T \cup V_G$ into the universe \mathcal{U} , with $I : V_T \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_O$, $I : V_G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_F$.
- ▶ \triangleright , a (vaguely specified) **relation** that holds between an organism and a feature ('has as a feature', as in "*vertebrates have vertebrae as features*" or "*mammals have suckling as a feature*").

Logical Formalization (contd)

We introduce a simple language, \mathcal{L}_{OF}

Semantics:

- ▶ $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{U}, I, \triangleright \rangle$, an **interpretation**.
- ▶ \mathcal{U} , the universe of \mathcal{I} , with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_O \cup \mathcal{U}_o \cup \mathcal{U}_F \cup \mathcal{U}_f$, and \mathcal{U}_O and \mathcal{U}_o sets of classes of organisms and of individual organisms, respectively, and \mathcal{U}_F , and \mathcal{U}_f sets of classes of features and of individual features, respectively.
- ▶ I , a mapping from the vocabulary $V = V_T \cup V_G$ into the universe \mathcal{U} , with $I : V_T \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_O$, $I : V_G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_F$.
- ▶ \triangleright , a (vaguely specified) relation that holds between an organism and a feature ('has as a feature', as in "*vertebrates have vertebrae as features*" or "*mammals have suckling as a feature*").
- ▶ T and F , the usual Boolean **logical truth** values.

All-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{AS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (all-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \forall o \in I(t) \exists f \in I(g) : o \triangleright f, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where o ranges over individual organisms in U_o , f ranges over individual features in U_f .

All-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{AS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (all-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \forall o \in I(t) \exists f \in I(g) : o \triangleright f, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where o ranges over individual organisms in U_o , f ranges over individual features in U_f .

Note:

The all-some semantic pattern is the **usual** (default) in most knowledge representation languages that allow relational assertions about classes.

Some-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{SS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (some-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \exists o \in I(t) \exists f \in I(g) : o \triangleright f, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Some-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{SS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (some-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \exists o \in I(t) \exists f \in I(g) : o \triangleright f, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note:

The some-some pattern is based on an **existential claim**: there **are** organisms of the class ... such that ...

Recursive Some-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{RSS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (recursive-some-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{cases} \text{T,} & \text{if } \forall O \in \mathcal{U}_O : (O \subseteq I(t) \wedge c(O)) \Rightarrow \\ & \exists o \in O \exists f \in I(g) : o \triangleright f, \\ \text{F,} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where O ranges over classes of organisms in \mathcal{U}_O , and c , the **condition of recursion**, is a boolean function $c : \mathcal{U}_O \rightarrow \{\text{T}, \text{F}\}$. (Here, $c(O) = \text{T}$ iff O is of the taxonomic rank called ‘species’ or above).

Only-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{OS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (only-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \forall f \in I(g) \forall o \in \mathbf{U}_o : o \triangleright f \Rightarrow o \in I(t), \\ F, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Only-Some Semantics

Definition (Semantics of Φ_{OS} -sentences)

Let σ be a \mathcal{L}_{OF} sentence of the form (only-some t g), with $t \in V_T$ and $g \in V_G$. Then:

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \forall f \in I(g) \forall o \in \mathbf{U}_o : o \triangleright f \Rightarrow o \in I(t), \\ F, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Note:

The only-some pattern is roughly the **inverse** of the all-some pattern:

$$(\text{only-some } t \text{ } g) \approx (\text{all-some } g \text{ } t)$$

with \triangleright replaced by its inverse in the semantics of the right-hand sentence.

Inference Patterns

Monotonic reasoning with \mathcal{L}_{OF} -sentences:

A number of rules may be defined to perform monotonic reasoning in \mathcal{L}_{OF} .

Example (Inference with Φ_{SS} -sentences)

$$\frac{(\text{some-some } t \text{ } g), (\text{subclass-of } t \text{ } t')}{(\text{some-some } t' \text{ } g)} R_{SS|T}$$

The rule $R_{SS|T}$ says that the relation \triangleright **propagates** with the some-some semantics **upwards** along the hierarchy of the Taxonomy of Species.

Inference Patterns (contd)

Summary of monotonic inference patterns

	Taxonomy of Species	Gene Ontology
AS	↓	↑
SS	↑	↑
RSS	↓	↑
OS	↑	↓
NAS	↑	↓
NSS	↓	↓
NRSS	↑	↓
NOS	↓	↑

Problems

The framework is incoherent:

- ▶ Sentences of the forms Φ_{SS} and Φ_{RSS} **make unconditioned existential** claims: “*there exists such an instance such that ...*”.
- ▶ Sentences of the forms Φ_{AS} and Φ_{OS} **do not** make such claims.

Problems (contd)

Alternative solutions:

- ▶ **Avoid** existential claims in Φ_{SS} and Φ_{RSS} by **conditioning** on the existence: “*If there exists an instance, then there exists an instance such that ...*”.

Problems (contd)

Alternative solutions:

- ▶ Avoid existential claims in Φ_{SS} and Φ_{RSS} by conditioning on the existence: “*If there exists an instance, then there exists an instance such that ...*”.
- ▶ **Augment** Φ_{AS} and Φ_{OS} to **make** existential claims: “***There exists** an instance, **and** every instance is such that ...*”.

Questions

The question of existential claims:

If an existential claim is made, what does it mean, precisely?

- ▶ That **at all times** there existed and will exist an organism such that ...?

Questions

The question of existential claims:

If an existential claim is made, what does it mean, precisely?

- ▶ That at all times there existed and will exist an organism such that ...?
- ▶ That **at any time during some particular interval** (our century? this year? today?) there exists such an organism?

Questions

The question of existential claims:

If an existential claim is made, what does it mean, precisely?

- ▶ That at all times there existed and will exist an organism such that ...?
- ▶ That at any time during some particular interval (our century? this year? today?) there exists such an organism?
- ▶ That such an organism existed **at the moment when the observation was made?**

Questions

The question of existential claims:

If an existential claim is made, what does it mean, precisely?

- ▶ That at all times there existed and will exist an organism such that ...?
- ▶ That at any time during some particular interval (our century? this year? today?) there exists such an organism?
- ▶ That such an organism existed at the moment when the observation was made?
- ▶ That such an organism existed **at the moment when the statement was made?**

Questions

The question of existential claims:

If an existential claim is made, what does it mean, precisely?

- ▶ That at all times there existed and will exist an organism such that ...?
- ▶ That at any time during some particular interval (our century? this year? today?) there exists such an organism?
- ▶ That such an organism existed at the moment when the observation was made?
- ▶ That such an organism existed at the moment when the statement was made?
- ▶ That such an organism exists **at any time the statement is read?**

Questions (contd)

The question of existential claims:

Do not ontologies intended to describe the **real world** rather than a **synthetic model-theoretic 'reality'** make existential claims implicitly?

Questions (contd)

The question of existential claims:

Do not ontologies intended to describe the **real world** rather than a **synthetic model-theoretic 'reality'** make existential claims implicitly?

- ▶ If they do, the problem is solved: make such claims explicit.

Questions (contd)

The question of existential claims:

Do not ontologies intended to describe the **real world** rather than a **synthetic model-theoretic 'reality'** make existential claims implicitly?

- ▶ If they do, the problem is solved: make such claims explicit.
- ▶ If they do not, an ontology describing, e.g., unicorns (i.e., an ontology specifying what something would have to be like to be a unicorn) is **trivially correct** in its description of the reality.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to:

Agnar Aamodt, Jörg Cassens, Melissa Haendel, Chris Mungall, Barry Smith, David Sutherland, and the anonymous reviewers of a related publication — for **comments** and **suggestions**.

Bibliography



The Gene Ontology Consortium.

The Gene Ontology (GO) project in 2006.

Nucleic Acids Research, 34:D322–D326, 2006.

Thank you.