



- On impossibility of sequential algorithmic forecasting

- Vladimir V'yugin

- Institute for Information Transmission Problems
Russian Academy of Sciences

◇ Statistical forecasting ◇

Let a sequence $\omega_1\omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}$ of outcomes is observed by a forecaster whose task is to give a probability p_n of the future outcome ω_n .

Statistical method of defining forecasts p_n is based on some overall probability distribution P defined on all possible sequences of outcomes

$\omega_1\omega_2 \dots \omega_n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The forecasts are defined as the conditional probabilities. In binary case we define

$$p_n = P(\omega_n = 1 | \omega_1\omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}) = \frac{P(\omega_1\omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}1)}{P(\omega_1\omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1})}.$$

In practice, P is computable function.

◇ Outside statistics ◇

We consider more general approach.

A.P.Dawid's prequential principle says:

evaluation of a probability forecaster should depend only on his actual probability forecasts and the *observed* outcomes

$\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}$.

The additional information contained in a probability measure that has these probability forecasts as conditional probabilities should not enter in the evaluation.

Follow Dawid's *prequential framework*

we do not consider numbers p_n as conditional probabilities generating by some overall probability distribution defined on all possible events.

◇ Partial forecasting systems ◇

Let $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_n \dots$ be an infinite binary sequence. Forecasting system is a *partial* recursive function

$$p_n = \varphi(\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1}).$$

We require only that $\varphi(\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1})$ is defined for all initial fragments of the sequence $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots$

Selection rule is a partial computable function δ on the set of all finite binary sequences taking values 0 and 1.

Selection rule δ is said to select the subsequence $s = n_1 n_2 \dots$ under an infinite binary sequence $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n \dots$ if

- 1) $\delta(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1})$ is defined for all n
- 2) $n \in s$ just when $\delta(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}) = 1$.

◇ Algorithmic calibration ◇

We say that a partial forecasting system φ is (well) calibrated for $\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots$ with respect to selection rule δ if

- 1) $\varphi(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n)$ is defined for all n , and
- 2) either the subsequence $n_1n_2\dots$ selected by δ under $\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots$ is finite or

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_{n_i} - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_{n_i-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Finally, $\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots$ is calibrable if some partial computable φ is calibrated for it; otherwise, $\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots$ is *noncalibrable*.

◇ Probabilistic machines ◇

Informally, probabilistic machine is defined by some algorithm, which when fed with an uniformly distributed finite or infinite sequence ω takes it sequentially bit by bit, processes it and produces an output sequence also sequentially bit by bit.

More correctly, probabilistic machine is a pair (L, F) , where L is an uniform measure on the set of all binary sequences and $F(\omega) = \sup\{y \mid \exists x(x \subseteq \omega, (x, y) \in \hat{F})\}$, where \hat{F} is a recursively enumerable set such that

- ◇ $(x, \emptyset) \in \hat{F}$ for any x , where \emptyset is the empty sequence;
- ◇ if $(x, y) \in \hat{F}$ and $(x, y') \in \hat{F}$ then $y \subseteq y'$ or $y' \subseteq y$.

◇ Non-calibrable sequences ◇

Theorem 1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a probabilistic algorithm (L, F) which with probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$ outputs an infinite binary sequence ω such that the following property holds: for each partial computable forecasting system φ defined on all initial fragments of the sequence ω there exists a computable selection rule which selects under ω an infinite subsequence $\omega_{n_1}, \omega_{n_2}, \dots$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_{n_i} - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n_i-1}) \not\rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, the probabilistic machine (L, F) generates with probability close to one an infinite noncalibrable sequence.

◇ History ◇

The first example of noncalibrable sequence was presented by Oakes, Schervish, Dawid [1985]. Unfortunately, the methods used do not comply with prequential principle; they depend on assumptions about existence of the measure from which probability forecasts are derived as conditional probabilities.

The same drawback can be assigned to a method of generation noncalibrable sequence with probability arbitrary close to one proposed by V'yugin [1998].

◇ Relation to defensive forecasting ◇

Vovk et al. [2004] showed that well calibrated forecasting is possible under very broad conditions. A typical example of selection rule used in wheather forecasting:

$$\tilde{\delta}_{p^*}(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_{n-1}) \in I_{p^*} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where f is a forecasting system and I_{p^*} is an interval around any point p^* .

Vovk's *K29* algorithm defines a computable forecasting strategy $p_n = f(\omega_1\dots\omega_{n-1})$ such that for any sequence $\omega_1\omega_2\dots$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{p^*}(p_i)(\omega_i - p_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{p^*}(p_i)} \rightarrow 0$$

holds for any point p^* such that

$\sum_{i=1}^n I_{p^*}(p_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Here $I_{p^*}(p)$ is a smooth approximation to characteristic function of an arbitrary interval I_{p^*} .

◇ Relation to defensive forecasting ◇

We constructed “non-smooth” weights $\delta(p)$ such that it is possible to generate with probability close to one a sequence $\omega_1\omega_2\dots$ for which

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(p_i)(\omega_i - p_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(p_i)} \not\rightarrow 0$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(p_i) \rightarrow \infty$ hold for the sequence of forecasts

$$p_n = f(\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1})$$

generated by any computable forecasting system f .